

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/531,227	04/13/2005	Christophe Arnaud	0505-1046	4671
466 7590 04/03/2009 YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER	
209 Madison Street			METZMAIER, DANIEL 8	
Suite 500 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/531,227 ARNAUD, CHRISTOPHE Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Daniel S. Metzmaier 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 January 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 20-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7.9.20.24 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 and 21-23 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/531,227 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-9 and 20-26 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9, 20 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nakashima et al, US 5,326,484. Nakashima et al (abstract, figures 4 and 5; column 1, lines 62 et seq; column 6, lines 33 et seq, particularly column 7, lines 1 et seq; column 7, lines 50 et seq; column 8, lines 54 et seq; column 9, lines 30-35; examples and claims) discloses methods of emulsification employing concentric tubes, wherein the inner tube is porous. The apparatus is connected to mechanical devices including gas pressure devices and a pump. Furthermore, Nakashima et al (column 9, lines 30-35) discloses the emulsions formed may have use in pharmaceuticals and cosmetics.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,227 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

At least the mechanical vibration type would have been inherent to employing the Nakashima et al devices as disclosed therein for making emulsions.

To the extent the Nakashima et al <u>differs</u> from the claims in the sufficiency of the disclosed methods of making the emulsions, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of applicants' invention to combine like soluble materials for ease of mixing and/or vary the order of mixing the ingredients based on the desired internal or external phase noted in the Nakashima et al reference and conventional in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 8 and 21-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 07 January 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicants' (pages 7 and 8) reference to the figure amounts to reading limitations into the claim. Claims are given their broadest reasonable interpretation during prosecution.
- 7. Applicants' (pages 9 and 10) arguments that the Nakashimi et al reference fails to disclose vibrations applied to the porous body have not been deemed persuasive since the breadth of the claims is broad enough to encompass the vibrations of the Nakashima et al apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,227

Art Unit: 1796

8. Applicants' (pages 10 and 11) arguments regarding the effectiveness of the Nakashima et al apparatus must take the form of evidence in the record to be probative and any evidence must be commensurate in scope with the claims. Applicants remarks regarding inhibition of coalescence has not been deemed persuasive.

Applicants statement of the interview is acknowledged.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,227 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Daniel S. Metzmaier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

DSM